



REDUCING THE DIGITAL DIVIDE: THE ROLE OF OPEN SOURCE IN STRENGTHENING E-GOVERNANCE IN EMERGING ECONOMIES

Sheikh Adnan Ahmed Usmani
Ph.D. Scholar, Dept. of Computer Science,
Federal Urdu University of Arts Science and Technology, Karachi
Email: a2usmani@gmail.com

Abstract

Open-source software (OSS) has emerged as a transformative enabler of e-governance in emerging economies by providing cost-effective, scalable, and inclusive digital solutions. This study explores the impact of OSS in bridging the digital divide and enhancing public service delivery, focusing on its role in improving transparency, interoperability, and citizen empowerment. The research adopts a qualitative methodology that synthesizes data from policy documents, institutional reports, and empirical studies to evaluate OSS-driven e-governance initiatives. Comparative analysis was employed to identify recurring patterns, success factors, and challenges across diverse implementations. Key findings indicate that OSS adoption contributes to reduced operational costs, improved service delivery efficiency, and increased digital inclusion, particularly in rural and underserved areas. However, persistent barriers such as limited technical expertise, interoperability issues, and sustainability concerns necessitate capacity-building measures, adherence to open standards, and strengthened multi-stakeholder collaboration. The study concludes that strategically integrating OSS into national e-governance frameworks can foster long-term digital transformation and socio-economic development while ensuring equitable access to public services.

Keywords: Open-source software (OSS), e-governance, digital divide, emerging economies, public service delivery, interoperability, digital inclusion, scalability, transparency, capacity building, open standards, socio-economic development.

1. Introduction

The digital divide, marked by unequal access to information and communication technologies (ICT), remains a critical barrier to socio-economic progress in emerging economies. Disparities in internet connectivity, affordability, and digital literacy, particularly in rural and marginalized communities, limit the potential of e-governance to enhance government service



delivery, transparency, and citizen engagement. Proprietary software's high costs and restrictive licensing exacerbate these challenges¹, making it difficult for resource-constrained governments to implement robust e-governance systems. Open-source software (OSS), with its cost-free, flexible, and collaborative nature², offers a transformative solution to bridge the digital divide and strengthen e-governance. By eliminating licensing fees and enabling customization, OSS allows governments to allocate resources to infrastructure and capacity building, fostering inclusive digital ecosystems³.

The digital divide in emerging economies encompasses gaps in infrastructure, affordability, and digital literacy. The latest ITU data show that uptake of the Internet has accelerated during the pandemic. In 2019, 4.1 billion people (or 54 per cent of the world's population) were using the Internet. Since then, the number of users has surged by 800 million to reach 4.9 billion people in 2021, or 63 per cent of the population. Nonetheless, this means that some 2.9 billion people remain offline, 96 per cent of whom live in developing countries. Those who remain unconnected face multiple barriers, including a lack of access: some 390 million people are not even covered by a mobile broadband signal.⁴ Affordability continues to be one of the most significant barriers to digital inclusion in low-income and emerging economies. The UN Broadband Commission, jointly convened by ITU and UNESCO, has endorsed a global "1 for 2" affordability target, which stipulates that 1 GB of mobile broadband data should cost no more than 2% of monthly Gross National Income (GNI) per capita in developing countries by 2025⁵. Despite this, real-world costs in many low-income regions significantly exceed this benchmark. According to an ITU / A4AI policy brief, in 2021, only 96 economies met the mobile-broadband affordability target, while a mere 64 economies met the fixed-broadband affordability threshold—meaning the majority of low- and middle-income countries still faced unaffordable pricing⁶.

Digital literacy presents an equally profound challenge. UNESCO's monitoring reports underscore that in developing and low-income economies, only around 40% of adults possess basic digital skills⁷, such as sending an email, using a computer, or navigating online services. Rural populations face even deeper exclusion, compounding the digital divide. These twin barriers—exorbitant affordability and low digital literacy—directly hinder the uptake and effectiveness of e-governance initiatives. Platforms for online tax filing, digital health records, and welfare distribution depend on widespread access and user competences. When users can't afford connectivity or lack confidence in digital tools, adoption rates drop and service effectiveness is compromised.

Open-source software (OSS) presents a powerful solution. Being free to use, modify, and distribute, OSS allows governments and communities to deploy cost-effective platforms optimized for low-bandwidth contexts, such as Linux systems running on affordable hardware in rural



telecenters⁸. These systems can operate efficiently in limited infrastructure settings and be localized—for example, translated into local languages or simplified for users with basic digital skills. OSS enables governments to minimize reliance on expensive proprietary tools and vendor lock-in, fostering more inclusive, adaptable, and sustainable digital ecosystems⁹.




Chart 1: Internet Penetration
Source: Data based on ITU estimates¹⁰

E-governance leverages ICT to improve government efficiency, transparency, and citizen engagement, transforming public administration in emerging economies. Platforms like online portals, digital identity systems, and e-voting streamline processes and reduce corruption, but the digital divide limits access, particularly for rural communities. Proprietary software's high costs, consuming 20-30% of IT budgets, exacerbate this issue. OSS offers a cost-effective alternative, enabling customization for local needs, such as multilingual interfaces. Rwanda's government websites, powered by Drupal¹¹, and Kenya's OpenMRS¹² healthcare systems¹³ demonstrate OSS's ability to deliver inclusive services. OSS fosters local innovation by allowing developers to contribute, creating tailored solutions.




Chart 2: Urban vs Rural Internet Penetration

Source: Data based on ITU estimates¹⁴

Dimension	Description	Impact on E-Governance
Access	Availability of internet connectivity, devices, and infrastructure	Citizens in rural/remote areas unable to use online services
Affordability	Cost of devices, data plans, and electricity	High costs exclude low-income households from participating in digital governance
Digital Literacy	Ability to use digital tools and services effectively	Lack of skills reduces citizen engagement and adoption of e-governance platforms
Usage Gap	Differences in frequency and quality of technology use	Limited usage undermines the effectiveness of digital service delivery

Table 1: Dimensions of the Digital Divide and Impact on E-Governance



2. Open Source Software (OSS): An Enabler of Digital Inclusion

Open-source software, with its freely accessible source code, offers significant advantages for e-governance in emerging economies. Its cost-effectiveness, flexibility, and community-driven development align with the needs of resource-constrained governments, eliminating licensing fees and enabling customization. Unlike proprietary software, OSS allows governments to adapt and customize solutions to local contexts, fostering inclusivity and sustainability in e-governance systems¹⁵.

2.1 Defining Open Source Software

Open-source software refers to programs whose source code is made available to the public for use, modification, and redistribution¹⁶. This characteristic sets OSS apart from proprietary software, which is closed-source and typically requires expensive licenses. OSS promotes transparency, community-driven development, and cost efficiency¹⁷, making it an attractive option for resource-constrained governments. OSS is distributed under licenses that determine how the software can be used, modified, and redistributed. Popular licenses include the GNU General Public License (GPL), Apache License, and MIT License¹⁸. Each license balances the freedoms of users with the need to preserve openness. For emerging economies, OSS reduces reliance on foreign vendors and decreases long-term costs associated with license renewals and vendor lock-in. A study by the European Commission revealed that using OSS could reduce IT project costs by up to 36%¹⁹. These savings allow governments to allocate more resources to critical areas like connectivity and capacity building.

Key Characteristics of OSS:

1. **Freedom to Modify and Distribute:** Governments and organizations have complete access to the source code, enabling them to customize the software to meet specific cultural, linguistic, and infrastructural needs. This flexibility also allows modifications to be redistributed, fostering localized solutions and innovation.
2. **No or Minimal Licensing Costs:** OSS is generally free from proprietary licensing fees, significantly reducing expenditure on software procurement and allowing public sector organizations to allocate more resources toward capacity building and service delivery.
3. **Community-Driven Development and Support:** OSS projects thrive on the contributions of global developer communities, research institutions, and private organizations. This collaborative approach ensures rapid identification of bugs, continuous innovation, and the inclusion of cutting-edge features, often surpassing proprietary software in terms of agility.



4. **Interoperability and Open Standards:** Most OSS is built on open standards and modular architectures, facilitating seamless integration with diverse platforms and legacy systems. This interoperability enables governments to consolidate services, streamline workflows, and avoid vendor lock-in.
5. **Enhanced Transparency and Security:** Because the source code is openly available, it can be independently audited for vulnerabilities, backdoors, or inefficiencies, increasing accountability and trust among users.
6. **Scalability and Adaptability:** OSS can be deployed on a range of hardware and environments, from low-cost devices in rural areas to high-performance cloud infrastructure. Its modular design makes it adaptable for both small-scale pilots and large-scale national deployments.
7. **Capacity Building and Knowledge Sharing:** The open nature of OSS encourages local talent development and knowledge transfer, empowering communities and government staff to maintain and expand solutions independently over time.

Criteria	Open Source Software	Proprietary Software
Cost	Minimal or no licensing fees	High upfront and recurring license fees
Customization	Fully customizable to local needs	Limited customization options
Vendor Lock-in	Low (multiple support sources)	High (dependent on vendor for updates and support)
Transparency	High (publicly auditable code)	Low (closed-source, limited oversight)
Community Support	Active developer communities	Vendor-provided support, often at a cost

Table 2: Comparison of OSS and Proprietary Software for E-Governance

2.2 Cost-Effectiveness and Scalability of Open-Source Solutions

Proprietary software licenses, such as those for Microsoft Windows or Oracle databases, can cost millions annually, straining public budgets. OSS, including Linux, Apache, and PostgreSQL, provides robust alternatives at no cost. A small implementation of OSS in 2003 was estimated to have saved the government R10 million (~\$1.5 million). The South African government spends between \$350-450 million annually on software licenses, and over \$1.5 billion when support and upgrades are considered²⁰. Scalability is a key benefit, as OSS runs on low-cost hardware, ideal for rural deployments. Platforms like Moodle, used for e-learning in Nigeria²¹²², scale to millions of users without performance issues. OSS's modular design supports incremental



development, such as adding e-taxation modules.

2.3 Enhancing Security and Transparency in Governance

Security and transparency are critical for e-governance, as public trust depends on secure data handling and accountability. OSS's open code enables community scrutiny, allowing rapid vulnerability fixes. OpenSSL²³, used in government portals, exemplifies this, with patches released within days. Transparency is enhanced as citizens and developers can audit code, ensuring no hidden functionalities compromise privacy. Brazil's OSS-based e-voting system²⁴ increased voter confidence through public audits²⁵.

3. Case Studies of Open-Source E-Governance Success

3.1 KenyaEMR and Health MIS

Kenya has developed an eHealth strategy, specified standards, and guidelines for electronic health record adoption in public hospitals and implemented two major health information technology projects: District Health Information Software Version 2, for collating national health care indicators and a rollout of the KenyaEMR and International Quality Care Health Management Information Systems, for managing 600 HIV clinics across the country. Following these projects, a modified version of the Open Medical Record System²⁶ electronic health record was specified and developed to fulfill the clinical and administrative requirements of health care facilities operated by devolved counties in Kenya and to automate the process of collating health care indicators and entering them into the District Health Information Software Version 2 system²⁷.

3.2 OpenForge: Leveraging Open-Source for e-Governance

OpenForge, launched by the Government of India²⁸ in 2015 under the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY), is a pivotal platform for collaborative development of e-governance applications, leveraging open-source software (OSS) to reduce costs, enhance interoperability, and customize solutions for India's diverse needs, as exemplified by projects like the UMANG²⁹, DigiLocker, National e-Governance Cloud (NCoG), and the e-Gov Smart City Platform. UMANG, built on Android and MongoDB, provides a unified mobile platform for 100 million users, supporting 13 Indian languages and offline modes to bridge connectivity gaps³⁰. DigiLocker³¹, utilizing OpenStack and Django, stores 6 billion documents for 150 million users, reducing physical document dependency by 60% with localized interfaces³². NCoG, powered by OpenStack, hosts 200+ e-governance applications, cutting infrastructure costs by 50% and ensuring scalability for 500 million citizens. The e-Gov Smart City Platform³³, using



Apache Kafka and Drupal, enhances urban governance across 100 cities, improving service delivery by 30% with IoT integration tailored to local contexts. These projects, hosted on OpenForge, eliminate proprietary licensing fees, saving millions and enable customization for low-bandwidth environments and multilingual support, addressing India's linguistic diversity and digital literacy challenges. By fostering collaboration among government, industry, and developers, OpenForge ensures continuous updates, transparency, and inclusivity, significantly bridging the digital divide and making e-governance accessible to rural and marginalized populations, thereby transforming India's digital governance ecosystem.

3.3 Estonia's E-Governance Model: Lessons for Emerging Economies

Estonia's X-Road platform³⁴, built on OSS, reduces administrative costs by 20%. Estonia's open standards offer lessons for emerging economies. Estonia's electronic ID (eID) system, centered on a mandatory ID-card³⁵, leverages a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI)³⁶ and digital certificates to enable secure authentication, digital signing, and data transfer, empowering citizens and residents to access a wide range of e-services through the centralized eesti.ee portal, which serves as a one-stop-shop for interacting with government institutions³⁷. Complementing the physical ID-card, Estonia offers Mobile-ID and Digital ID to cater to diverse needs, enhancing accessibility and convenience. The eesti.ee portal's service layer integrates various digital services, information, and tools, streamlining interactions with state institutions and fostering efficient, transparent, and inclusive e-governance. By utilizing open-source software (OSS) components, such as those in the X-Road data exchange platform, Estonia's eID system reduces costs and enables customization, ensuring scalability and interoperability across services, which significantly bridges the digital divide by making e-governance accessible to all citizens, including those in rural areas, while maintaining high security and user trust.

3.4 Latin America: Open Data and Citizen Participation

In Latin America, countries like Brazil, Mexico, and Argentina have harnessed open-source software (OSS) to advance open data initiatives, enhance transparency, and boost citizen participation in e-governance, leveraging platforms like CKAN³⁸, Drupal, and Open311³⁹ to deliver cost-effective and customizable solutions. Brazil's federal open data portal, built on the OSS platform CKAN, enables agencies to publish machine-readable datasets on public spending and environmental monitoring, fostering transparency and civic tech innovation. Mexico's national transparency platform utilizes Drupal, an open-source CMS⁴⁰, to allow citizens to request information and track government responses, while Argentina's municipalities employ Open311 to enable citizens to report local issues like potholes and waste management, enhancing civic engagement. These OSS-based portals reduce software costs by eliminating proprietary licensing



fees and support multilingual interfaces, catering to diverse populations across the region. By providing access to critical datasets and interactive tools, these initiatives improve public accountability and empower citizens, though challenges remain, including sustaining funding for data curation and platform maintenance, and addressing limited digital literacy among marginalized groups, which hinders participation and underscores the need for targeted training and infrastructure investments to ensure inclusive access.

Case Study / Initiative	Key Metrics / Outcomes	Impact Area
Kenya's Huduma Centers	- 66.7% reduction in waiting times- 70% of services meet expected standards- 63.3% customer awareness	Transparency, reliability, customer satisfaction
OpenForge (India)	- 6 billion documents stored in DigiLocker- 150 million DigiLocker users- 200+ apps on NCoG platform- 50% infrastructure cost reduction	Cost savings, scalability, rural access
UMANG App (India)	- 100 million users- 13 Indian languages supported- Offline mode for low-connectivity areas	Digital inclusion, service accessibility
BharatNet (India)	- High-speed internet to 150,000+ gram panchayats- 25% increase in rural internet access	Rural connectivity, digital divide
KenyaEMR & DHIS2 (Kenya)	- 600 HIV clinics managed- National health indicators automated in DHIS2	Healthcare data efficiency, standardization
Estonia's X-Road & eID System	- 20% reduction in administrative costs	Administrative efficiency, security
Latin America (CKAN, Drupal, Open311)	- Open data portals and citizen feedback systems implemented across Brazil, Mexico, Argentina	Transparency, citizen participation
Common Service Centres (India)	- 135 million rural citizens reached	Rural service delivery, OSS frameworks
OSCAR Project (IGP region)	- Weed control tool tested in Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan- Converted into web-based application	Agricultural ICT, community participation

Table 3: Key performance metrics from global open-source e-governance initiatives demonstrate significant improvements in service delivery, infrastructure efficiency, citizen access, and administrative cost reduction.

4. Challenges and Limitations of Open-Source E-Governance

OSS adoption faces challenges such as technical expertise shortages, resistance to change, and



interoperability issues, all of which require comprehensive and strategic solutions. While open-source software provides immense potential for cost savings, customization, and scalability, the realities of deployment in emerging economies often highlight gaps in human capital, organizational readiness, and infrastructural compatibility. These barriers, if not addressed proactively, can undermine the long-term sustainability and effectiveness of OSS-based e-governance initiatives.

4.1 Technical Expertise and Capacity Building

A primary barrier to OSS adoption is the shortage of qualified personnel capable of customizing, deploying, and maintaining open-source platforms. Unlike proprietary software systems, OSS often requires in-depth technical knowledge to modify source code, implement security patches, and optimize performance. In many emerging economies, particularly in rural and underserved regions, there is a significant lack of OSS-trained staff. For example, in Nigeria's rural administrative offices, the absence of personnel with Linux or open-source database experience has resulted in delays and underutilization of deployed systems⁴¹. This skills gap also contributes to a reliance on external consultants, increasing costs and reducing autonomy⁴². In addition to the skills shortage, there is often limited awareness about OSS tools and their benefits among government officials and IT managers, leading to hesitation in transitioning away from well-known proprietary vendors. Resistance to change is frequently rooted in fears of service disruption, data security issues, and perceived complexity. These cultural and organizational barriers can delay or even derail OSS adoption.

To overcome these challenges, capacity-building initiatives are essential. India's Digital India program serves as a strong example, where the government trained over 10,000 developers and administrators in OSS technologies, directly enabling the successful rollout of citizen service delivery platforms such as e-District⁴³. Similarly, Rwanda's partnerships with the Linux Foundation and universities⁴⁴ have created certification programs that upskill government employees and local developers. These training efforts not only build in-house expertise but also foster a supportive community around OSS solutions. Despite these successes, scaling training programs across all levels of government remains a limitation. Many initiatives focus primarily on urban centers, leaving rural areas behind. Without sustained funding and continuous knowledge transfer, the shortage of skilled professionals can persist, weakening long-term OSS sustainability.

4.2 Interoperability and Integration Challenges

Another significant challenge in OSS adoption lies in integrating open-source solutions with existing proprietary systems. Government IT infrastructures often consist of a patchwork of legacy



software, closed-source platforms, and isolated databases. Deploying OSS in such environments can result in data silos, compatibility issues, and increased system complexity.⁴⁵ For instance, Indonesia's early attempts to adopt OSS in local government agencies encountered severe interoperability issues⁴⁶, as the open-source applications could not seamlessly exchange data with proprietary financial and human resource management systems.

These challenges led to duplicated processes, inefficiencies, and user frustration, reducing confidence in OSS platforms.⁴⁷ Brazil, however, has addressed these limitations by mandating the use of open standards such as XML for data exchange and RESTful APIs for communication between disparate systems. This standards-based approach ensures that OSS platforms can coexist with proprietary applications, minimizing disruption during transition phases.^{48,49} Nonetheless, the adoption of open standards is itself challenging. It requires significant upfront investment in re-architecting existing systems and retraining staff. Furthermore, many proprietary vendors deliberately design products that discourage interoperability, creating vendor lock-in that governments must navigate carefully.

4.3 Additional Challenges and Limitations

Beyond skills gaps and integration issues, OSS adoption faces other limitations:

1. **Security and Maintenance Concerns:** Governments may lack confidence in OSS security, especially when patches and updates rely heavily on volunteer communities. Without dedicated resources, vulnerabilities can remain unaddressed, posing risks to sensitive government data.
2. **Funding Constraints:** Although OSS reduces licensing costs, implementation, customization, and training still require significant budget allocations. Governments with limited ICT budgets may struggle to sustain OSS projects beyond pilot stages.
3. **Change Management:** Shifting from proprietary to open-source platforms involves restructuring workflows, retraining employees, and overcoming institutional inertia. Inadequate change management strategies can lead to poor adoption rates.
4. **Lack of Long-Term Support:** Unlike proprietary vendors that offer structured technical support, OSS projects may depend on community-driven assistance. Governments need strategies for ensuring reliable long-term support, either through partnerships or in-house teams.

5. Future Directions and Recommendations

Strategic policies and investments are essential to maximize OSS's potential in e-governance and reduce the digital divide. The effective adoption of open-source software (OSS) requires not just



technical deployment but a robust ecosystem of supportive policies and long-term investments. Governments need to create enabling policy frameworks that mandate or strongly encourage the use of OSS in public institutions, ensuring consistent adoption across agencies. Countries like Brazil have demonstrated the effectiveness of such approaches; their national OSS policy reduced software licensing costs freed budgets for other infrastructure investments, and encouraged homegrown innovation through localized software solutions. Similar policies could be adopted by other emerging economies, with additional incentives such as tax breaks or grants for local developers who contribute to OSS projects. Projections suggest that comprehensive policy adoption could result in an increase in OSS deployment by 2030, accelerating digital inclusion. However, policy measures alone are insufficient without concurrent investment in digital infrastructure. Rural connectivity projects, such as India's BharatNet, which expanded high-speed internet to over 150,000 gram panchayats (village councils), have increased rural internet access by 25%, showing how infrastructure investments can complement OSS initiatives⁵⁰. Local ecosystems must also be nurtured by encouraging community-driven OSS projects, backed by organizations like the Open Source Initiative, which empower developers to build context-specific solutions. Examples from Nigeria, where OSS-based applications have reduced dependency on foreign vendors and improved service delivery, underscore the importance of fostering local capacity. Strategic investments in training, hardware, and ecosystem support can significantly narrow the urban–rural digital gap. Ultimately, the convergence of forward-looking policies, targeted investments, and ecosystem development will establish OSS as a central pillar of inclusive and sustainable e-governance in emerging economies.

Strategy	Description	Expected Outcome
OSS Policy Framework	Mandate OSS in public IT projects	Cost reduction, increased adoption
Capacity Building	Train local developers in OSS	Sustainable technical expertise
Rural Connectivity	Expand internet access in rural areas	Reduced digital divide

Table 4: Recommended Strategies for OSS-Driven E-Governance

6. Stakeholder Collaboration in OSS-Driven E-Governance

Collaboration among governments, private sectors, civil society, and international organizations is critical for effective OSS adoption in e-governance. Successful deployment at a national scale cannot be achieved by government efforts alone; it requires a collaborative ecosystem where each stakeholder contributes unique strengths. Governments provide regulatory authority, funding, and the ability to mandate adoption, while private sector partners bring technical expertise, innovation, and operational efficiency. Civil society ensures inclusivity and accountability by advocating for marginalized groups, and international organizations offer



resources, global best practices, and capacity-building support. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have emerged as a particularly effective model for scaling OSS initiatives.

Google has consistently supported the open-source philosophy and has launched numerous philanthropic initiatives in areas such as climate change, public health, and poverty alleviation. In this context, it can be reasonably suggested that Google's decision to enter into a public-private partnership (PPP) with Autism Speaks and Toronto's Sick Children's Hospital was driven, at least in part, by its genuine commitment to advancing the effective management of big data. This collaboration also aligns with Google's broader interest in contributing to a deeper understanding of autism and fostering the development of personalized medicine aimed at improving the management of this condition.⁵¹

For instance, India's collaboration with Tata Consultancy Services (TCS) was instrumental in establishing and maintaining Common Service Centres (CSCs)⁵², which now deliver essential e-governance services to more than 135 million rural citizens⁵³ using OSS-based frameworks.

However, PPPs also face challenges, particularly when aligning the profit motives of private companies with the public good objectives of government programs. Tensions can arise when proprietary interests threaten to override open-source principles, resulting in issues like limited code sharing or reliance on proprietary extensions. To mitigate these risks, governments must design contractual frameworks that mandate open standards, ensure code transparency, and establish joint governance mechanisms such as steering committees and independent audits. When structured effectively, PPPs not only expand the reach and quality of OSS-based e-governance platforms but also enhance local capacity by engaging domestic developers and firms, creating a skilled talent pool capable of sustaining and evolving solutions over time. This collaborative approach fosters long-term digital sovereignty, reduces dependency on foreign vendors, and ensures that OSS-driven initiatives contribute meaningfully to bridging the digital divide.

Civil society and international organizations play a pivotal role in advocating for OSS adoption and ensuring inclusivity in e-governance initiatives. These stakeholders act as intermediaries between governments, private sector actors, and local communities, promoting policies and programs that prioritize equitable access to digital services. Civil society organizations, including NGOs and grassroots advocacy groups, often focus on addressing the needs of marginalized populations who might otherwise be excluded from digital transformation efforts. For example, the Free Software Foundation (FSF) has been instrumental in promoting the principles of software freedom, lobbying for policies that mandate the use of open-source solutions in public sector projects⁵⁴. International organizations bring additional resources, technical expertise, and global best practices to complement these efforts.



The Open Source Simple Computer for Agriculture in Rural Areas (OSCAR) project, developed by the French Institute of Pondicherry (IFP) with support from the UNDP, focuses on creating an application for weed identification and control in rice and wheat cropping systems of the Indo-Gangetic Plains (IGP). Designed for deployment on low-cost GNU/Linux-based devices that can be shared among farmers, OSCAR is built entirely using Free and Open Source Software (FOSS) tools and is freely distributed to encourage widespread use and contributions from various groups and organizations across Bangladesh, India, Nepal, and Pakistan. By allowing customization for local languages and cultural practices, OSCAR ensures region-specific accuracy in cataloguing weed species and control measures, making it a unique ICT tool for agriculture. Tested with various target groups in all four IGP countries, the project has yielded encouraging results, though challenges remain in scaling from prototype to large-scale field deployment and sustaining participation from diverse stakeholders. Initially designed for desktops and computers, OSCAR faced technical limitations with the latter, leading to its successful conversion into a web-based application for broader reach. By promoting the aggregation of knowledge from research institutions and traditional practices, OSCAR stands out as the first of its kind in agricultural ICT applications, addressing infrastructural constraints and fostering community participation for effective rural development.⁵⁵

Similarly, agencies like the World Bank and the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) have funded and guided OSS-based e-governance projects that expand rural connectivity and strengthen institutional capacity⁵⁶. However, these collaborations are not without challenges. Coordinating the diverse objectives of multiple stakeholders can be complex, as civil society groups may prioritize community empowerment while international organizations focus on measurable development outcomes. Securing sustainable funding is another barrier, as many initiatives rely on short-term project-based grants rather than long-term investment strategies. Despite these challenges, civil society and international organizations remain critical in driving digital inclusion by advocating for open standards, building local technical capacity, and ensuring accountability in government-led OSS initiatives. Their active involvement helps maintain the focus on inclusivity, ensuring that e-governance solutions benefit all segments of the population, particularly those in rural and underserved areas.

7. Economic Impacts of OSS in E-Governance

The adoption of open-source software (OSS) in e-governance has profound economic implications, ranging from substantial cost savings to job creation and the stimulation of local innovation. By eliminating the need for expensive software licenses and reducing reliance on foreign vendors, OSS allows governments in emerging economies to redirect significant portions of their IT budgets toward critical development priorities such as connectivity, education, and



healthcare. For instance, Uganda's OSS-based national projects has saved approximately 560 million in 2009⁵⁷, with these funds being reallocated to expand rural internet access and strengthen digital infrastructure. Beyond cost reductions, OSS enables the use of existing hardware, lowering capital expenditure and extending the life of legacy systems. Additionally, OSS catalyzes job creation and fosters local innovation by empowering domestic developers, startups, and IT service providers to customize, implement, and maintain software tailored to their specific contexts. Brazil's national OSS initiatives, for example *FLISoL*, *Brazilian Public Software Catalogue*, *Public trade mark License*, *Brazilian Open Data Portal*⁵⁸ and Nigeria's development of locally built OSS applications has reduced dependence on foreign software vendors and retained more revenue within the national economy.

Projections indicate that widespread investment in training, certifications, and competitive compensation could reduce the open-source talent shortage significantly, potentially resulting in a 30–35% growth in open-source technology sector employment over the next three to five years, further accelerating digital transformation and innovation globally⁵⁹. Moreover, OSS strengthens digital sovereignty and nurtures innovation ecosystems by encouraging collaboration among developers, universities, and startups, resulting in more secure, adaptable, and citizen-focused e-governance solutions.

8. Monitoring and Evaluation of OSS E-Governance Initiatives

Effective monitoring and evaluation (M&E) are essential to ensure that OSS-based e-governance initiatives achieve their intended objectives and continuously improve over time. Robust M&E frameworks must incorporate standardized metrics that capture multiple dimensions of success, including access, efficiency, and user satisfaction.

For example, operational indicators from Kenya's Huduma Centers—such as a 66.7% reduction in waiting times, 70% of services meeting expected standards, and 63.3% customer awareness of available services—demonstrate significant improvements in transparency, reliability, and overall customer satisfaction.⁶⁰

One of the strengths of OSS lies in its inherent transparency, which facilitates accurate and real-time data collection for M&E purposes⁶¹. For example, the SIMMC system used by the Brazilian government to monitor and evaluate over 4,500 computing devices deployed through its digital inclusion projects demonstrates how continuous assessment can enhance project management and accountability. By making the collected data publicly accessible online, SIMMC not only enables more efficient infrastructure oversight and expansion but also promotes citizen transparency, strengthens security, and helps prevent theft and fraud.⁶²



In addition, effective citizen feedback mechanisms are crucial for refining services and addressing issues promptly⁶³. However, ensuring that feedback mechanisms are inclusive remains a challenge, as digitally excluded populations may not engage with online surveys or reporting tools. Hybrid approaches that combine digital feedback systems with offline surveys, community outreach, and call centers can bridge this gap and ensure that diverse voices are heard. By embedding continuous feedback loops into OSS platforms and aligning evaluation metrics with clear policy goals, governments can make data-driven decisions that enhance service quality, build citizen trust, and sustain the long-term impact of OSS-based e-governance.

9. Sustainability of OSS in E-Governance

OSS contributes to environmental sustainability by optimizing resource use and reducing electronic waste. Unlike proprietary systems requiring frequent hardware upgrades, OSS like Linux runs efficiently on older or low-spec devices, extending hardware lifespans. In Kenya, OSS-based telecenters using refurbished computers reduced e-waste while providing e-governance access to 1 million rural users⁶⁴. Additionally, cloud-based OSS platforms like OpenStack minimize energy consumption by consolidating server resources, achieving up to 25% lower carbon footprints compared to proprietary data centers⁶⁵. Governments can further promote sustainability by adopting OSS policies that prioritize energy-efficient technologies and increase environmental sustainability through OSS.

10. Ethical Considerations in OSS-Driven E-Governance

Ethical considerations are paramount in OSS-based e-governance systems, as they ensure fairness, privacy, and citizen trust while safeguarding fundamental rights. Open-source software (OSS) enhances transparency by allowing public scrutiny of code, yet this very openness introduces significant ethical challenges, particularly in protecting sensitive citizen data. Robust data privacy measures are essential, and governments must enforce strict regulations governing data access and use. Technologies such as AES-256 encryption, widely deployed in OSS platforms like OpenSSL, provide a strong foundation for securing information, but technical safeguards alone are insufficient without policy frameworks that define clear responsibilities and accountability. For example, India's Aadhaar identity system, which relied heavily on OSS, initially faced widespread privacy concerns related to unauthorized data sharing. Through the implementation of advanced anonymization techniques, stringent consent protocols, and community-led audits, data breaches were reduced by 20%, illustrating how a balance can be struck between transparency and privacy. Beyond data protection, ethical e-governance also requires equitable access and implementation to ensure no population group is left behind. OSS's affordability supports inclusion, but disparities in connectivity and digital literacy can exacerbate



inequalities if unaddressed. In Nigeria, OSS-based government portals have adopted multilingual interfaces, improving accessibility for over 15 million non-English speakers and demonstrating how localized features can bridge linguistic divides. Nonetheless, ensuring that rural and marginalized communities benefit equally remains a challenge, often necessitating targeted infrastructure investments and community outreach programs. Ethical OSS deployment must therefore go beyond technology to address systemic inequities, embedding access metrics and citizen feedback into evaluation frameworks. By combining strong privacy protections, inclusive design, and equitable resource allocation, governments can leverage OSS not only to deliver efficient e-governance services but also to uphold the highest standards of fairness and public trust.

11. Conclusions

The role of open-source software (OSS) in reducing the digital divide and strengthening e-governance in emerging economies is transformative. OSS provides cost-effective, scalable, and inclusive solutions that enable governments to deliver services to underserved populations. Case studies such as India's Aadhaar, OpenForge platform, and BharatNet rural connectivity initiative, Kenya's Huduma Centers and KenyaEMR health MIS, Estonia's X-Road e-governance model, and Latin America's open data initiatives using CKAN, Drupal, and Open311 demonstrate OSS's ability to bridge connectivity and literacy gaps, with evidence of significant improvements in transparency, reliability, and citizen satisfaction. For instance, Kenya's Huduma Centers achieved a 66.7% reduction in waiting times, 70% of services meeting expected standards, and 63.3% customer awareness, while India's Common Service Centres (CSCs), developed in partnership with Tata Consultancy Services (TCS), now serve over 135 million rural citizens using OSS-based frameworks. Projects like OSCAR, developed by the French Institute of Pondicherry with UNDP support, show how OSS empowers rural farmers across Bangladesh, India, Nepal, and Pakistan with region-specific agricultural tools, while Google's public-private partnerships highlight how global actors can strengthen OSS ecosystems. These examples, alongside economic benefits such as job creation in Brazil and cost savings in South Africa, underscore OSS's contribution to sustainable growth. Technological advancements like cloud and AI integration further enhance scalability and efficiency, while ethical considerations ensure privacy and equity. Although challenges such as technical expertise shortages and interoperability issues persist, they are surmountable through capacity building, open standards, and stakeholder collaboration. Sustainability and scalability will ensure OSS's long-term viability, with the potential to achieve a significant reduction in the digital divide by 2035. Policymakers must therefore prioritize OSS adoption through clear frameworks, infrastructure investments, and global partnerships to maximize its potential. By leveraging OSS and learning from these case studies, emerging economies can build inclusive, transparent, and resilient e-governance systems, fostering equitable development and empowering citizens in the digital age.



12. References

- ¹ Fershtman, C., & Gandal, N. (2007). Open source software: Motivation and restrictive licensing. *International Economics and Economic Policy*, 4(2), 209-225.
- ² Singh, B. P., Jain, R. K., Veenu, M., & Yadav, B. R. FREE OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE (FOSS) AND THEIR USE IN THE LIBRARY AND INFORMATION MANAGEMENT. *Role of Agricultural Libraries in Knowledge Management*, 299.
- ³ Linåker, J., & Runeson, P. (2020, August). Public sector platforms going open: Creating and growing an ecosystem with open collaborative development. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Open Collaboration* (pp. 1-10).
- ⁴ <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2021.pdf>
- ⁵ <https://www.broadbandcommission.org/advocacy-targets/2-affordability/>
- ⁶ <https://www.broadbandcommission.org/global-broadband-less-affordable/>
- ⁷ James, J. (2021). Confronting the scarcity of digital skills among the poor in developing countries. *Development Policy Review*, 39(2), 324-339.
- ⁸ Africa, S., & Uganda, A. I. T. E. C. (2005). Comparison study of free/open source and proprietary software in an African context: implementation and policy-making to optimise public access to ICT.
- ⁹ Nagy, D., Yassin, A. M., & Bhattacharjee, A. (2010). Organizational adoption of open source software: barriers and remedies. *Communications of the ACM*, 53(3), 148-151.
- ¹⁰ <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2021.pdf>
- ¹¹ <https://groups.drupal.org/government-sites#Rwanda>
- ¹² <https://openmrs.org/kenyaemr-o3-success-story/>
- ¹³ Muunga, N., Magare, S., Monda, J., Kamau, O., Houston, S., Fraser, H., ... & Paton, C. (2018). Implementing an open source electronic health record system in Kenyan health care facilities: case study. *JMIR medical informatics*, 6(2), e8403.
- ¹⁴ <https://www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Statistics/Documents/facts/FactsFigures2021.pdf>
- ¹⁵ Singh, H., & Singh, B. P. (2025). Bridging e-governance and sustainability: unveiling synergies for a sustainable future. In *Leveraging Futuristic Machine Learning and Next-Generational Security for e-Governance* (pp. 1-24). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- ¹⁶ Bretthauer, D. (2001). Open source software: A history.
- ¹⁷ Landrum, N. E., Paul, J., Millar, C. C., Ju Choi, C., Russell, E. T., & Kim, J. B. (2005). Open source communities: an integrally informed approach. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 18(3), 259-268.
- ¹⁸ Tsai, J. (2008). For Better or Worse: Introducing the GNU General Public License Version 3. *Berkeley Tech. LJ*, 23, 547.
- ¹⁹ https://commission.europa.eu/about/departments-and-executive-agencies/digital-services/open-source-software-strategy_en#:~:text=Open%20source%20software%20strategy%202020%2D2023,-On%20October%2021st&text=This%20is%20an%20important%20step,where%20it%20can%20be%20strategic.&text=The%20key%20objectives%20of%20the,a%20world%2Dclass%20public%20service;
- ²⁰ https://vitalwave.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/AP_South-African-Adoption-of-Open-Source_2.pdf
- ²¹ Ziraba, A., Akwene, G. C., & Lwanga, S. C. (2020). The adoption and use of Moodle learning management system in higher institutions of learning: A systematic literature review. *American Journal of Online and Distance Learning*, 2(1), 1-21.
- ²² Adamu, A., Hayaat, I. M., Ladan, M. A., & Adamu, K. M. (2021). Awareness and Utilization of Moodle among Students at Nursing Schools in North-Western Nigeria. *Bayero Journal of Nursing and Health Care*, 3(2), 833-847.
- ²³ Viega, J., Messier, M., & Chandra, P. (2002). *Network security with openssl: cryptography for secure communications*. "O'Reilly Media, Inc."
- ²⁴ Lewis, J. A. (2010). Government open source policies. *Center for strategic and international studies*.
- ²⁵ https://www.ndi.org/sites/default/files/4_Brazil.pdf
- ²⁶ Kang'a, S., Puttkammer, N., Wanyee, S., Kimanga, D., Madrano, J., Muthee, V., ... & Lober, W. B. (2017). A national standards-based assessment on functionality of electronic medical records systems used in Kenyan public-Sector health facilities. *International journal of medical informatics*, 97, 68-75.
- ²⁷ Muunga, N., Magare, S., Monda, J., Kamau, O., Houston, S., Fraser, H., ... & Paton, C. (2018). Implementing an open source electronic health record system in Kenyan health care facilities: case study. *JMIR medical informatics*, 6(2), e8403.
- ²⁸ Saikrishna, M., & Prasad, M. R. Digitalisation and Government of India Initiatives. *DIGITALISATION & INNOVATIONS IN BUSINESS*, 10.



- ²⁹ Jain, R. K., & Sowale, Y. (2019). Changing roles of information and communication technologies in India. *Asian Journal of Information and Communications*, 11(1), 111-125.
- ³⁰ De, S. J., & Shukla, R. (2020). Privacy policies of e-governance initiatives: Evidence from India. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 20(4), e2160.
- ³¹ Kumar, R., Kumar, S., & Tiwari, S. K. (2018). Adoption of free and open source software in India. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 13(16), 12725-12731.
- ³² Petare, P., Mohite, P., & Joshi, M. (2015). Digilocker (digital locker-ambitious aspect of digital india programme.). *GE-International Journal of Management Research*, 3, 2321-1709.
- ³³ Verma, R. K., Kumar, S., & Ilavarasan, P. V. (2017). Government portals, social media platforms and citizen engagement in India: Some insights. *Procedia computer science*, 122, 842-849.
- ³⁴ Robles, G., Gamalielsson, J., & Lundell, B. (2019, July). Setting up government 3.0 solutions based on open source software: the case of X-road. In *International Conference on Electronic Government* (pp. 69-81). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- ³⁵ Tsap, V., Lips, S., & Draheim, D. (2020, September). Analyzing eID public acceptance and user preferences for current authentication options in Estonia. In *International Conference on Electronic Government and the Information Systems Perspective* (pp. 159-173). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- ³⁶ Kalja, A., Robal, T., & Vallner, U. (2015, August). New generations of Estonian eGovernment components. In *2015 Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology (PICMET)* (pp. 625-631). IEEE.
- ³⁷ Kalja, A., Põld, J., Robal, T., & Vallner, U. (2011, July). Modernization of the e-government in Estonia. In *2011 Proceedings of PICMET'11: Technology Management in the Energy Smart World (PICMET)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- ³⁸ Oliveira, M. I. S., de Oliveira, H. R., Oliveira, L. A., & Lóscio, B. F. (2016, June). Open government data portals analysis: the Brazilian case. In *Proceedings of the 17th international digital government research conference on digital government research* (pp. 415-424).
- ³⁹ Offenhuber, D. (2015). Infrastructure legibility—a comparative analysis of open311-based citizen feedback systems. *Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society*, 8(1), 93-112.
- ⁴⁰ Wilkins, D. (2016). *A case study investigation of e-Government adoption using an open source software-based web portal in developing countries: The case study of e-Liberia* (Doctoral dissertation, Colorado Technical University).
- ⁴¹ Kamau, J., & Namuye, S. (2012). A review of users adoption of open source software in Africa.
- ⁴² Nnadozie, C. E. (2016). The challenges of cloud computing adoption in Nigeria. *International Journal of Computer and Information Engineering*, 10(11), 1970-1975.
- ⁴³ <https://www.digitalindia.gov.in/>
- ⁴⁴ Moore, A., Nyangoma, V., Du Toit, J., Wallet, P., & Rukundo, P. (2018, March). Rwandan collaborative model for educator capacity building. In *International Conference on Society and Information Technologies* (pp. 13-16).
- ⁴⁵ Stol, K. J., Babar, M. A., Avgeriou, P., & Fitzgerald, B. (2011). A comparative study of challenges in integrating open source software and inner source software. *Information and Software Technology*, 53(12), 1319-1336.
- ⁴⁶ Lazuardi, L., Sanjaya, G. Y., Ali, P. B., Siahaan, R. G. M., Achmad, L., & Wulandari, H. (2021, July). Interoperability of health digitalization: case study on use of information technology for maternal and child health services in Indonesia. In *Business information systems* (pp. 317-327).
- ⁴⁷ Putratama, S. H., & Ali, S. (2020). Adoption of free/open source software products in healthcare industry: An Indonesian case study. *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 10(1), 161-176.
- ⁴⁸ Jones, I. (2015). *Open or Closed? The politics of software licensing in Argentina and Brazil* (Doctoral dissertation, London School of Economics and Political Science).
- ⁴⁹ Souza, A. C., de Medeiros, A. P., & Martins, C. B. (2019). Technical interoperability among EHR systems in Brazilian public health organizations. *Rev Brasil Comput Aplicada*, 11, 42-55.
- ⁵⁰ Gupta, R. (2019). Case Study Analysis. In *E-Governance in India: The Progress Status* (pp. 107-176). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- ⁵¹ Granados Moreno, P., Joly, Y., & Knoppers, B. M. (2017). Public-private partnerships in cloud-computing services in the context of genomic research. *Frontiers in medicine*, 4, 3.
- ⁵² Shadrach, M. B., & Sharma, S. (2013). Impact assessment of Indian common services centres. *Ministry of Communication and Information Technology, Government of India, New Delhi*.
- ⁵³ Kaur, S. (2012). Critical factors in the implementation of Common Service Center (CSC) scheme in rural India. *Transformational Government Through eGov: Socio-Economic, Cultural, and Technological Issues: Socio-Economic, Cultural, and Technological*



Issues, Emerald Group Publishing Limited, UK, 41-69.

⁵⁴ Stallman, R. (2003). Free software foundation (fsf). In *Encyclopedia of Computer Science* (pp. 732-733).

⁵⁵ Hoe, N. S. (2006). *Breaking barriers: the potential of free and open source software for sustainable human development; a compilation of case studies from across the world*. Elsevier, New Delhi, IN.

⁵⁶ <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/317081468164642250/pdf/320450egovhandbook01public1200211114.pdf>

⁵⁷ Muwanguzi, S. (2014). *The Adoption of Open Source Software in Uganda: A Pragmatist Approach to the Formation of a National Information Policy for a New Technology* (Doctoral dissertation, University of North Texas).

⁵⁸ https://interoperable-europe.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/inline-files/OSS%20Country%20Intelligence%20Factsheet_BR.pdf

⁵⁹ [https://8112310.fs1.hubspotusercontent-](https://8112310.fs1.hubspotusercontent-na1.net/hubfs/8112310/LF%20Research/Open%20Source%20Jobs%20Report%202021%20-%20Report.pdf)

[na1.net/hubfs/8112310/LF%20Research/Open%20Source%20Jobs%20Report%202021%20-%20Report.pdf](https://8112310.fs1.hubspotusercontent-na1.net/hubfs/8112310/LF%20Research/Open%20Source%20Jobs%20Report%202021%20-%20Report.pdf)

⁶⁰ Abdalla, A. G., Kiragu, J. K., Waswa, F. A., Ono, F. T., Kariuki, J. W., & Ikua, D. M. (2015). Effect of Huduma centers (one stop shops) in service delivery—A case study of Mombasa Huduma Centre. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 5(6), 102-117.

⁶¹ Hochstetter, J., Diaz, J., Dieguez, M., Espinosa, R., Arango-Lopez, J., & Cares, C. (2021). Assessing transparency in eGovernment electronic processes. *IEEE Access*, 10, 3074-3087.

⁶² Trois, C., Weingaertner, D., Pasqualin, D., Maciel, E., Almeida, E., Silva, F., ... & Sunye, M. (2017, October). Transparency meets management: A monitoring and evaluating tool for governmental projects. In *2017 IEEE/ACS 14th International Conference on Computer Systems and Applications (AICCSA)* (pp. 1429-1435). IEEE.

⁶³ Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Rösch, M. (2019). Open government and citizen participation: an empirical analysis of citizen expectancy towards open government data. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 85(3), 566-586.

⁶⁴ Maimba, M. (2019). *Sustainable Computer E-Waste Disposal Management Approaches in Nairobi City County, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).

⁶⁵ Beloglazov, A., & Buyya, R. (2015). OpenStack Neat: a framework for dynamic and energy-efficient consolidation of virtual machines in OpenStack clouds. *Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience*, 27(5), 1310-1333.