



RUSSIAN POLITICAL, ECONOMIC AND SECURITY INTERESTS IN CENTRAL ASIAN REGION: A STUDY

*Syed Muhammad Farhan
Ph.D Scholar*

*Department of International Relations
FUUAST, Karachi – Pakistan
shahfarhan84@gmail.com*

*Dr. Faisal Javaid
Assistant Professor*

*Department of International Relations
FUUAST, Karachi – Pakistan
faisal.javaid@fuuat.edu.pk*

*Dr. Shahnawaz Muhammad Khan
Assistant Professor*

*Department of International Relations
FUUAST, Karachi – Pakistan
shahnawaz.khan@fuuast.edu.pk*

Abstract

Russia and the Central Asian region have a long history of engagements, based on Russian interests and the regional dynamics that were changed from time to time. After a terrorist attack on the World Trade Centre, America had started operations against Taliban Govt. in Afghanistan in the name of the War on Terror and established military bases in Central Asia. The Heads of Central Asian countries and Russia condemned these attacks and initially, Russia supported the American campaign in this region. Later, with the Color revolutions and protests in regional states, Russia felt threatening and increased American influence in the region. The key points of the Russian



Federation's foreign policy in the Central Asian region are institutional integration, helping in energy ventures, and strengthening security. The study conducts through the qualitative method. This article investigates the tactical significance of the region of Central Asia toward Russia. It also focuses on Russian security, political and economic interests in this region.

Keywords: Russia, Central Asian Region, China, America, Energy, Security.

Introduction

Since the freedom of Central Asian countries, the locale's key criticalness has been reevaluated consistently. A ton has been discussed the significance of Central Asia regarding socio-social ideas, for example, the area of the district between various civic establishments, for example, Islamic South, Christian North, and Buddhist East. Central Asia is an enormous, jiggered trapezoid close to the center of the Eurasian landmass. It lies at the core of the Eurasian mainland. It is the biggest landlocked area, the district extends from the Caspian Sea in the West, Afghanistan, and Iran in the direction of the south, Russia toward the north and west, and China toward the east. It has an area around 25 percent bigger than the territory of the considerable number of nations of the European Union. It is the biggest landlocked district on the planet. It represents 10 percent of the complete land region of the CIS and 23 percent of the region of the Russian Federation. There is identical to 43% of the land territory of the USA, more than multiple times that of France and bigger than the zone of Pakistan and India joined. The Central Asia Republics go in size from Kazakhstan, with all zone of 1,049,200 square miles to Tajikistan, at 55,300 square miles (Inazarov, F.; 2005). Numerous variables make Central Asia a significant district in the world field. Among them are, first, the accessibility of rich vitality assets in Central Asia and the Caspian locale, second, the geopolitical area of Central Asia between such provincial powers as Russia, China, Pakistan, India, and Iran, and third, the issue of Afghanistan, which can be viewed as a wellspring of potential dangers to neighboring nations and different nations of the world in light



of unlawful medication generation, sedate dealing, and fear-based oppression (Fredholm, M. (Ed.); 2013).

The huge vitality assets, for example, oil, gas, Copper, and Uranium make this zone an alluring locale for vitality hunger in Europe and China and its geostrategic area in the Center of the Eurasian mainland on the crossing point of basic vehicle courses. The region's geopolitical criticalness is not another advancement. Beginning in the second century BC, Central Asia framed a critical portion of the Silk Road exchange arrange, which associated the East toward the West and molded worldwide progress as we probably are aware of it today. In present-day times, nineteenth-century Britain and Russia fought for influence over the region of Central Asia in a battle broadly known as "The Great Game". The key significance of Central Asia was carefully featured by the British political geographer Sir Halford John Mackinder in the middle of the twentieth century. Sir Halford John Mackinder created a straightforward geopolitical equation (Mackinder, H; 1919): "Who controls the Heartland [which included Central Asia] rules the World-Island [Eurasian continent]. Who rules the World-Island controls the World". Composing a century later, Zbigniew Brzezinski-the previous United States national security counselor additionally underscored the "significant significance of the Eurasia landmass" for worldwide steadiness. He contended that "whoever controls this space, will rule the world"(İşeri, E.; 2009). Another geostrategist Yelena Nikolayevna Zabortseva featured that the worldwide network has expanded its concentration towards the asset-rich Central Asian district. The locale had stayed a cushion zone between extraordinary forces. In any case, Russia keeps up a ruling association with the nations of this locale (Huasheng, Z.' 2009). These speculations feature the key significance of the locale. Spykman alluded to Central Asia as 'power governmental issues.' Therefore, in the above setting, the significant forces attempted to outline their strategies to upgrade their hold in the region. The provincial powers, for example, India, Pakistan, Turkey, Iran, and Afghanistan are likewise putting forth attempts to make a place of impact in this area.



Literature Review

Central Asian Strategic Importance Toward Russia

Region of Central Asia is considered at the center of a super-mainland having vital importance of many dimensions such as it is considered as a landmass being home to three of the globe's commonly sophisticated and developed finance-related regions. 75% of the entire population is living in Eurasia and as per the notes of Zbigniew Brzezinski, "globe's three-fourths world's energy resources" are found as well in this region. Additionally, Eurasia is the world's most influential landmass owing to being the region of 6 prime economies of the world similar to 6 main areas that spend heavily on armed forces. Central Asia has made it a "key turn." The advanced economic-related relationships amongst incredible powers and interconnectedness convert it into the unlikely that a vital player (Russia or China) can make use of the Central Asian region as an organizing soil for an assault (Khitakhunov, A., Mukhamediyev, B., & Pomfret, R.; 2017). Notwithstanding, it ought to be "America's essential enthusiasm to help guarantee that no single force comes to control this geopolitical space" [Zbigniew Brzezinski] because any key player overwhelming Eurasia would almost certainly try to control different pieces of the world (Masoud, F.; 2014).

Numerous elements make current Central Asia a significant locale on the planet. Among them is the accessibility of rich vitality assets in Central Asia and the Caspian district; besides, the geopolitical area being encompassed by forces, for example, Russia, China, Iran, and India, Pakistan; and, thirdly, the issue of Afghanistan. Accordingly, territorial and worldwide entertainers progressively rival each other in contemporary Central Asia, energized by geopolitical interests and rivalry for the noteworthy stores of mineral assets (Rakhimov, M.; 2015). The significant forces (U.S., China, and Russia) understand that they can't control CA as do the local powers on CA's fringe. At present, the object of every one of these states is impact and to keep away from any single other force increasing a prevailing position.



For a considerable length of time, Central Asia has been a district of incredible key significance, for the large powers as a result of its common assets and the crucial exchange courses that are concentrated there. Also, it has an impressive travel potential for shipping crude materials. Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan contain probably the biggest assets of gaseous petrol and oil. These are respected the key components of Russia's, just as other nations' advantage (Włodkowska-Bagan, A.; 2012). Russia sees Central Asia as deliberately significant as far as its Far Eastern arrangements and points. The historical backdrop of Russia's commitment to Pacific Asia stretches out back to the seventeenth century when it established the principal seaport at Okhotsk in 1647. In any case, in the previous three centuries, Russia has customarily viewed the district as a zone of auxiliary significance, with Europe the main need. Since 1991, Russia's advantage and influence in Asia have additionally debilitated. Be that as it may, presently Russia is looking to turn around this pattern. This point isn't driven by military and ideological contemplations, just like the case during the Cold War, however on an evaluation of Russia's financial advantages, particularly its desire to situate itself as a future vital travel connection between Pacific-Central Asia and Western Europe, and a significant provider of much-required vitality assets (Fraser, C.; 2009).

Furthermore, the significance of the Central Asian locale for Russia is dictated by various variables. A few components, for example, the clear unsteadiness of fringes, regional questions that have gathered throughout the hundreds of years, interethnic clashes both between and inside the new autonomous states, and a constantly precarious neighboring Afghanistan take steps to destabilize the circumstance in the locale. The area is likewise deliberately critical towards Russia because various ethnic Russians living in Central Asia, yet their volume has quite diminished since the breakdown of the Soviet Union (Mitchell, G.; 2014). The area is likewise significant because the dread occurrences of 9/11 had a few repercussions in the territorial legislative issues regarding U.S. authoritative desire in Central Asia. Russia understood that the U.S. needed its infiltration in the Central Asian locale and for that, it was looking for partners towards those states which share its anxiety over U.S. entrance in this region (Iqbal, M., & Afridi, M. K.; 2017).



Russian Interests in Central Asian Region

The historic ties with Central Asia must be viewed as a significant purpose behind the present-day interests of Russia in Central Asia. For many years the territory was a piece of Russia, in this manner inclusion in the area and seeking after the objective of keeping up a nearness is completely justifiable. After the disintegration of the Soviet Union, President Yeltsin did not take much interest in the Central Asian region and a significant number of the pioneers there felt unrestricted. President Putin has switched that position and set critical accentuation on 'Russia's Near Abroad' which incorporates Eastern and Southern Europe just as Central Asia (Mankoff, J.; 2009). The issue for the regional states is that Putin's grip frequently accompanies a cost: expanded reliance on Russia. With potential as a huge wellspring of vitality and rising geostrategic significance, Central Asia has gotten expanding consideration from the extraordinary forces. As its hugeness has extended, so too has Russia's developing force informing its performance in the locale. The infringement of the West and the 'shading' transformations in Georgia, Ukraine, and Kyrgyzstan have escalated Russian apprehensions of Western impact in this area, Eastern Europe, and the Caucasus. Moreover, Russia has been undermined by fear-mongering, opiate dealing, and organized crimes in Central Asia. Patriotism and multi-vector international strategies of the CA states have likewise tested Russia's local nearness (Muzalevsky, R.; 2009). Every one of the nations of Central Asia characterizes Russia as a significant international strategy need and perceive its enthusiasm for Central Asia. The Russian Federation, thus, likewise communicates the significance of creating relations with the nations of the area (Rakhimov, M.; 2015). Russia as the most conspicuous outside force in Central Asia, as far as fundamentally i) its high-level political connections, ii) its security participation in the district, and iii) ostensibly, its scope of venture extends in these nations (Oliphant, C.; 2013). Though, because of various goals and emotional elements, the connection between the Russian Federation and the Central Asian republics faces a few challenges. In any case, the Central Asia-Russia relationship, regardless of certain distinctions in their positions and evaluations, is very practical and contains political, financial, military, instructive, and social collaboration.



Generally, Central Asian states have consistently been in Russia's close place. Russian inclinations in this region are informal to characterize. First among them is the nearness of somewhere in the range of 10 million Russian countrymen which is an additional factor adding to the formal strategy. Among political interests (to safeguard business as usual, which envelops both a noteworthy military nearness and high political status), Russia's financial advantages in the locale ought not to be thought little of – enhancement of raw petroleum and gaseous petrol, ferrous and non-ferrous metals, cotton and grain, gold and uranium; pipelines through Iran's region just as an assortment of middle items and made merchandise all make Central Asia an appealing and huge business accomplice for Russia in when exchange interfaces all through the previous Soviet economy have been disappeared and modern generation is experiencing a large group of different components (Snyder, J. C.; 1995).

The primary targets of Russian desire in Central Asia are by all accounts keeping up its mastery in the district in all circles. "Today Russia's interest is the thing that has consistently been the quest for any superpower, to hold it's predominant situating in the area of key significance and simultaneously toward different superpowers off" (Kagan, R.; 2009). For Russia it is an issue of eminence not to lose control, business as usual, and the advantaged position in its range of prominence. The points sought after by different states working in the area consistently negate the Russian objectives. One mainstay of American arrangement was keeping the geopolitical majority in Central Asia (Boonstra, J.; 2015).

The Central Asian Republics are affiliates from a few local associations whose expressed point is elevating multilateral answers for security and monetary difficulties, for example, the Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO), set up in 2002, and the EAEU, built up in 2014, are very dynamic. A critical piece of the nations of Central Asia is associated with the two associations, where Russia assumes a significant job. Both of these associations are unequivocally bolstered by Russia and gain by lingering political, monetary, and bureaucratic linkages among previous Soviet republics.



Russian Political Interests in Region of Central Asia

Russia-Central Asia political relations remain vigorously stepped by the Soviet past, with regular qualities and sets of accepted rules, yet also, a colonial tonality, which emphasizes social errors. Since the separation of the Soviet Union, Russia, and other post-Soviet nations, Central Asia including, collaborate inside the Commonwealth of Independent States. For Moscow, it is an apparatus of keeping up the impact on the part states' approach. Having been unconcerned in the Central Asian region all through the period of the 1990s, Putin's Russia was trying to recover its standing as a superpower as right on time as 2000. In Central Asia, Russian nearness is perceptible as much on the political (Kremlin's help of the systems presently in control, especially most of the tyrant) and geopolitical intensity (SCO and CSTO) as in the financial space (Eur-Eco-Community and the supporting control of vitality assets in Central Asia by Russian organizations). Russia's "arrival" to Central Asia affirms that Moscow wishes to save its command over the previous post-Soviet republics and to employ an impact on the flimsy circumstance in Central Asia (Laruelle, M.; 2008).

There is an inconsistency at the core of how Moscow sees this region. The locale is and remains Russia's backyard and 'range of prominence' – a zone of "special interests". However, in gradually grappling with its new job in Central Asia, Today Russia Federation remembers it is presently only single-player among others, implicitly recognizing the area is multi-polar. Since different nations are expanding their effect on the monetary arrangements of Central Asia, Russia must confirm to those substances and associate with new characters inside the district, a condition that has its favorable circumstances yet besides disservices in Russian eyes (Oliphant. Op.cit).

The Central Asian governments condemned the steady rebukes they got concerning democratization, common society, great administration, and human rights, contending that their social orders did not have the circumstances for the criteria of importing that were open to countries of West. In the year 2003, the "colored upheavals" in Georgia, in the year



2004 in Ukraine and the year 2005 in Kyrgyzstan; more equipped the politics related rapprochement amid Russia and states of Central Asia. Moscow would not recognize that this type of critically vital next-door country can bring ending in the hands of the professional system of politics of West. Presidents of Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and Kazakhstan are clear that such disturbances were by implication focused on them and looked for help powers to empower them to keep their systems. During this type of environment; all fell into line after Putin: they repeated his accusations of prohibited impedance by West, competed for the necessity for systems of solid nature to desist from being weakened by extremists, and received severer performance relating to NGOs (Laruelle, M.; 2008).

The principle of this rapprochement in terms of political side; was principally a classic result of the impact of West in the surroundings: that wasn't with no hesitance that the systems of Central Asia returned into the system of Russia "elders brother's" superimpose, on the other hand, they cherished the realistic arrangement was been taken by Kremlin. Additionally, a rapprochement in terms of political dimension amongst the Central Asian region and Russia was boosted with encouragement by the usual encounter in opposition to the extremist jeopardy. The states approved to help Russia in its battle in Chechnya in response to the Kremlin's funding of their encounter in opposition to the Islamic Movement of Uzbekistan (IMU), Hizb-uL-Tahrir and resistance to political constraint even more for the majority division (Naumkin, V. V., & Naumkin, V. V.; 2005). The fraction on the post 9/11 "war on fear" has authorized states of Central Asia and Russia to give assurance that they moreover have been affected by globalized Islamism that has authorized surrounding governments to find out common outlooks and make fresh associations.

A basic Russian objective is a security, for example, the continuation of the Central Asian local norm where they can oppose changes like those of the Arab spring. That the state of affairs includes a relationship where Central Asian states look to Moscow to maintain their residential position while it looks to safeguard their strength as well as to actuate them to imitate its local practices to advance soundness through constraint (Blank, S. I.; 2011)



The Western model of parliamentary consensus isn't pertinent in the Central Asian district. This governmental model has had a critical political effect on regional social orders: political changes for democratization have been hindered; the exercises of civil society and Non-Gov.-Organizations have been progressively reduced and accessing new advances and to media, like the Internet has gotten increasingly troublesome. Kyrgyzstan, by and large thought about the most democratic nation in all five Central Asian nations, has endeavored since 2007 to set up a 'vertical force' model, which, while inconvenient to law-based activities, is planned for balancing out the nation, encouraging ventures and reasserting state authority. Russian worried about Kazakhstan that how to save its interests if Nursultan leaves its office. Russia has again become the essential political model for these regional states, which are pulled in neither to Western parliamentary frameworks nor to China's mono-partyism (Laruelle, M.; 2009). Theirs joined all out as of now remains at about 7 million. Most by far keep on living in Kazakhstan, with more than 4 million Russians, notwithstanding a decrease in the level of the complete populace. Ethnic Russians make up 12 percent of the populace in Kyrgyzstan; 4 percent in Turkmenistan; 6 percent in Uzbekistan; and 1 percent in Tajikistan (Mitchell, G.; Op.cit). Despite the reduced situation for ethnic Russians in the Central Asian republics, the Russian language stays key to day by day correspondence in Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan where it appreciates established assurance and keeps up a reasonable job for the informed world-class and outsiders in the bigger urban communities of Uzbekistan, Tajikistan, and Turkmenistan (Ibid.). It has seen consistent development in the utilization of local dialects yet also one in which Russian keeps on being an essential component.

Russian Economic Interests in The Central Asian Region

Russian financial interests in Central Asia are firmly connected with geopolitical contemplations. They are Vast and differing mineral assets, fundamentally, oil, gaseous petrol, and uranium stores; Excessive work assets that could be utilized both through drawing in labor vagrants to Russia and building up neighborhood work serious creation offices concentrated on product supply to Russia. Vast inner market with a huge



advancement potential opening new chances to Russian exports and development of Russian undertakings and banks. Still unblemished older participation connections and target essentials for the advancement of new systems administration (moderately elevated level of trust toward Russia when contrasted with different nations effectively entering the locale, business condition understandable to Russian partnerships, the regular language of correspondence, ties of family relationship, and so forth.); opportunities for a more extensive gainful travel from Central Asia and neighboring nations to Europe through a Russian area. Russia is biased against the development of gas and oil pipelines bypassing its domain and yet is set up for participation in the progress and activity of pipelines of Central Asia (Naumkin, V., & Ivanov, I.; 2013). Considerably after the breakdown of the Soviet Union in 1991, Central Asia kept a considerable lot of its associations with Russia.

The nation remained the biggest exchange accomplice for every one of the states belonging to the Central Asian region for the initial two decades following their autonomy, bringing in vitality resources, natural and horticultural crude materials, just as synthetic concoctions, and different products from the locale while trading merchandise, for example, refined fills, staples, hardware, materials, and transportation gear. Over the previous decade, notwithstanding, Russia's exchange and venture ties with Central Asia have decreased. China has been a major factor in decay. In the monetary area, Russia has recaptured a prevailing position (Dave, B., & Kobayashi, Y.; 2018). In any case, trade binds amongst Russia, and the surroundings have decomposed over the preceding decade. Russia's 2016 two-sided swap volume with the region added up to \$18.6 billion, compared with the \$30 billion amount of China for an alike era. Economics related issues of Russia since the year 2014 have quickened its incapacitating condition in the locale (Paul Stronski, N.; 2019). Like the EU, Russia's exchange with Central Asia is unmistakably commanded by vitality questions.

Among the most noticeable issues from Russia's financial commitment with Central Asia are those of work movement and provincial settlements. These have become a fundamental part of the Russia–Central Asia



relationship. As indicated by the International Organization for Migration (IOM), an expected 10 million individuals in Central Asia are on the move. In Tajikistan alone, the World Bank evaluates that half of its working-age guys are utilized abroad, just as a huge level of Kyrgyz and Uzbek workers (World Bank.; 2017). Russia customarily has been one of the essential goals for Central Asian transient laborers, who in 2014 made up 40 percent of every single remote occupant there. Numerous vagrant workers likewise remain inside Central Asia and look for work in Kazakhstan, where high financial development has made interest for regular and talented laborers the same (IOM.; 2015). Settlements from transient workers are immensely critical to the economy; in 2018, settlements involved roughly Tajikistan's 36 percent GDP, the Kyrgyz Republic's 37 percent GDP, and Uzbekistan's 13 percent (Coface.; 2019). In any case, settlements from work transients have assumed a tremendous job in supporting the economies of these states in the course of the most recent decade.

Central Focal Asia's indispensable resource is its hydrocarbon assets. Kazakhstan has 30 billion barrels of oil holds. Even though this is just 1/8 the demonstrated holds in Saudi Arabia, it is worth generally \$2.5 trillion, at current world costs – after costs for extraction. At a comparable degree of significance is Turkmenistan's gaseous petrol, evaluated at 265 trillion cubic feet. Turkmenistan's gas saves put it on the planet's best five potential makers. It is likewise significant that Kazakhstan's and Turkmenistan's plenitude of assets is as opposed to the overall lack of hydrocarbons in the other three CA states (Chang, T., Deng, X., Zuo, J., & Yuan, J.; 2018).

In the recent past, energy ties between Central Asian states and Russia have experienced significant movements, but especially the case of Kazakhstan is different. Russia verified its monopolist status by controlling every one of the 5 lines of the Central Asia–Center pipeline arrange, in which the biggest at the time (its present limit is around 45 billion cubic meters, yet its underlying plan was for the 90 billion), and the Bukhara–Ural gas channel (8 billion cubic meters). During the 2000s, Moscow's desire that gas exchange with the Central Asian region would



keep extending prompted two improvements (Gabuev, A.; 2016).

In the year 2003, it consented to a long haul arrangement with the locale's biggest energy provider, Turkmenistan, to build its fare capacity up to 80 billion cubic meters yearly, and in 2007 it recommended to develop of The Caspian gas pipeline that would support limits of Russian pipeline networks. These vitality streams are overall unidirectional, going from Central Asia to Russia. Nonetheless, gas sends out from the region never arrived at target volumes, besides the Pre-Caspian pipeline venture was solidified. Currently, the changing elements among Russia and its providers Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan accentuate Moscow's declining impact (Aminjonov, F.; 2017). What's more, even though the Central Asian force framework was intended to work without anyone else (aside from in Kazakhstan's north), the regional governments have as of not long ago to a great extent relied on Moscow to add huge hydropower advancement ventures and to verify Central Asian power exchange.

Russian enthusiasm for the locale is hydrocarbon assets, thought about the key in character. Russia is resolved to keep up a focal job in the extraction, refining, and exportation of these vitality assets to the European market. A colossal amount of it has been sent out by Moscow to focal and Eastern Europe started in the Central Asian Republics and Caspian basin. Russia is as yet a significant vitality player in Central Asia, as a vitality provider (in Kyrgyzstan, where Gazprom now controls the gas pipeline system) and travel nation (75% of Kazakh oil arrives at the European Union through Russia). Russia adequately controls Kazakhstan's uranium-mining segment (Blank, S. J.; 2011).

Russia isn't keen on the development of cross-fringe oil and gas pipelines bypassing its domain, yet it is prepared to partake in the development and activity of pipelines in Central Asia. For the acknowledgment of the premiums in the financial circle, notwithstanding political, it made various monetary institutions although the 'alphabet soup' of associations has a variable and sketchy reputation up until now: The Customs Union; the Eurasian Economic Community (EurAsEC); CIS Free Trade Zone Agreement; Anti-Crisis Fund; Eurasian Development Bank, among others.



As far as concerns, the Eurasian Economic Union (EAEU) that propelled in 2015 (Oliphant, Op.cit).

Russian Security Interests in Central Asian Region

Russia's political initiative endeavors to make a select circle of enthusiasm all through the previous Soviet Union. Central Asia is significant for three reasons for Russia. The main explanation is that it is a piece of the previous Soviet Union, which Russia thinks about its novel circle of intrigue. The subsequent explanation is the district's closeness to precarious Afghanistan and the potential overflow of aggressor Islamism, drug trafficking, and between ethnic brutality from that nation (Hedenskog, J., Holmquist, E., & Norberg, J.; 2018). A third explanation is that the district has army bases, some of which identity with Russia's atomic weapons system. This makes Central Asia a crucial locale for the Russian military. Central Asia has a few Russian military-related establishments (One in Tajikistan, three in Kyrgyzstan, four in Kazakhstan). Most have stayed there since the Soviet Union period. As opposed to army installations in the district, or powers situated in Russia, the establishments are not for anticipating military force. The establishments identify with either Russia's atomic weapons framework, air resistance, space powers, or the advancement of hardware, and advantage from the area's topography. Russia doesn't have any military offices in either Turkmenistan or Uzbekistan (Hedenskog, J. & Holmquist, E., and Norberg, J.; 2019).

Russian specialists have prevailing with regards to keeping or in recovering various research and armed offices in Kyrgyzstan, Kazakhstan, and Tajikistan. Among the most significant establish a significant component of the Russian resistance framework. Astana has given the Russians utilization of a few terminating ranges in return for military material, since the 1990s, for specific upkeep and official preparing (Laruelle, M., & Peyrouse, S.; 2015). Since 2004 arrangement with Tajikistan, Russia has established its biggest army installation outside its fringes, where it stationed the 201st equipped division (Hedenskog, & Holmquist and Norberg, Op.cit). In October 2012 a two-sided



understanding was finished up among Dushanbe and Moscow, which accommodates lease freebasing rights for Russian powers until 2042 (Contessi, N. P.; 2015). In the Kyrgyz region, Russia has its Kant base available to it, which operated in 2003 and has as of late declared designs to open another one in the south part of Kyrgyzstan. Russian military offices take those game plans through to 2032, with a potential expansion past that for a further five years. The Russian side had discounted significant Kyrgyz obligations, adding up to 489 million dollars. The equivalent had been done in the Tajikistan setting. Accordingly, obligation dropping, and considerable guide (eg, \$2 billion to Kyrgyzstan), are utilized to use these armed security courses (Oliphant, Op.cit).

In the second half of the 1990s, Moscow recognized more significance to strength and security in CA. This occurred because of expanded connections between Russian household fanaticism and outside radical developments that were emerging from Central Asia. Moreover, radical gatherings attacked the Fergana valley, built up bases there, and propelled customary assaults to Uzbekistan and Kyrgyzstan. Afghan Taliban vanquished Kabul and started to undermine Tajikistan, which was facilitating Russian soldiers. In this condition, a characteristic response to such dangers was Russia's longing "to be stronger" or possibly to stop "losing ground" all over, particularly in Central Asia (Azamat, B.; 2016). Maybe the best method for controlling the Central Asian states has been the Russian military nearness in the locale. Although the underlying number of Russian militaries in Central Asia was decreased, at that point, a few occasions have reinforced the Russian "military card" to command Central Asian governmental issues from the accompanying viewpoint. The dread of strict fundamentalism from the South and the feeble military limits of Central Asian states to manage Islamic agitators constrained them to recognize that Russia was a "primary underwriter" of their security (Paramonov, V. & Stolpovski. O.; 2008).

Russian–Central Asian multilateral coordinated efforts are equipped towards the Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO), its job in the elaboration of aggregate techniques of the battle against transnational threats, terrorism, and drug dealing. It is the main local foundation with



real military measurement. Since 2005, the organization had additionally restored participation between Central Asian military fields and Russians. Its Intergovernmental Committee for Military and Economic Coordination takes into account the special offer of Russian armed equipment to the regional states at household market costs of Russian and to the closer combination of domestic armed businesses (Krickovic, A., & Bratersky, M.; 2016).

The two other significant areas of their military joint effort are first, the arrangement of the military gear, and second, a collaboration amongst soldierly technical edifices. Since the 2000s, Russian had provided the regional states with huge amounts of military gear, either by trading it at special costs, outstandingly to Uzbekistan, and Kazakhstan, or by providing the equipment as a byproduct of the rental of locales (Laruelle, M. Op.cit). Russia remains the primary supplier of military preparing for Central Asia's military, particularly its official corps, and security administrations, just as of military material– from light weapons to overwhelming deadly implement and flight – which are frequently sold at Russian residential costs. It offers joint preparation and activities, including counter-terrorist militant tasks and counter tax evasion plans, on a reciprocal or multilateral premise. Russia is likewise vigorously associated with preparing outskirts protects, particularly in Tajikistan, and has partaken in improving establishments engaged with debacle readiness (Laruelle, Marlene.; 2014).

The presence of US military bases in the Central Asian region was the main threatening feature to the Russian security interests. The US retained two military bases in the central Asian region one in Kyrgyzstan and other in Uzbekistan after the post war operations in Afghanistan. (Beehner, L.; 2005). In the southern Uzbekistan, Karshi-Khanabad Air Base is present, which was not far from Tajikistan; while the other Manas Air Base is located just north of Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan.

These bases were used to support the US led war on terror in the Afghan region. They were utilized basically to support the US forces in their military operations. There existed around 1000 of soldiers and other non-



military servicers in each base. Furthermore, apart from geographical position and natural resources of the region, Chinese growing involvement in recent years, along with strong Russian political influence, the question also raised about the Central Asian prominence to the US and vice versa. (Aliyev, N.; 2020)

Research Methodology

The qualitative method is mainly used to examine the related data and other figures. The study is conducted by analyzing the research articles, relevant reports, and other concerned documents on the related theme.

Findings and Discussion

History saw that all the enormous forces required common assets to continue their authoritative structures and for them, they were continually in contact with the countries who got these assets. Similarly, the examination finds that the Central Asian locale has been a district of extraordinary key significance, for the enormous forces as a result of its characteristic assets and the fundamental exchange courses that are concentrated there. Among those extraordinary forces, Russia is on top to abuse the provincial assets for its formative needs and to use those exchange courses request to get the most extreme financial advantages. The above writing explains that the central Asian locale is likewise deliberately critical to Russia because of various ethnic Russians living in Central Asia. Since these individuals bolstered each position of Russia in the locale and as their consolidated all out as of now remains at around 7 million so it gives enormous help to the Russian way of thinking. Notwithstanding it, the Russian language likewise stays key day by day correspondence. So there has seen consistent development in the utilization of local dialects yet also, one in which Russian keeps on being an irreplaceable component.

Russia feels answerable for countless Russian inhabitants and Russian-speaking ethnic networks in Central Asia, which is an additional factor adding to the approach. It ought to be called attention to that all these Russia-arranged gatherings fill in as characteristic partners assisting with



fortifying Russian phonetic and social space in the post-Soviet regions. To serve these ethnic networks in their better expectations is likewise in light of a legitimate concern for Russia.

The analysis likewise finds the geopolitical significance of the district towards Russia, as Russian needs political help from the provincial states in support of it to prevail to its greatest advantage in the locale. At the point when Russia understood that the US needed its entrance in the Central Asian area and that it was looking for partners, Russia began to counter the US factor in provincial governmental issues. As the US association in the area can make political harm the Russian methods of reasoning as for the territorial states. The investigation likewise tells that this view of Russia has carried it closer to China which is apparent looking like Russian support in the Shanghai Cooperation Organization.

Inspecting the geographic significance of the area towards Russia, the above writing depicts that because of local closeness the Russians strived to verify Central Asia from outside progressivism or Islamic insurgency while guaranteeing Central Asia's defenselessness to its business, political, vitality, and military impact. In this situation, Russia attempts its level best to keep up its mastery in the district in all circles. To hold its prevailing situating in the area of vital significance and simultaneously to fend different superpowers off. The above-talked about writing illuminates that the dread of expansion of the district's autonomy developments, loaded up with strict fanaticism - a discernment shared by Beijing, alongside weapons sneaking and sedate dealing is viewed as a genuine risk to Russian interests. Considering the different vitality assets, the investigation clarifies that Russia has consistently been keeping an eye on these assets. In like manner, Russia adequately controls Kazakhstan's uranium-mining area, since Kazakhstan has the prime offer in provincial normal assets. Besides, the measurements update that Russia is as yet a significant vitality player in Central Asia, and as a vitality provider the commitment of Russia can never be thought little of.

Additionally, another core Russian interest for the area is its hydrocarbon assets that are likewise viewed as vital. Keeping in see the hugeness of these hydrocarbons Russia is resolved to keep up a focal job in the



extraction, refining, and exportation of these vitality assets to the European market. So to have an additional edge over other provincial players in the territorial vitality part. The study likewise clarifies that strength in the entirety of its structures is another vital Russian enthusiasm for the district. This implies the continuation of the Central Asian residential business as usual where they can oppose changes like those of the Arab spring. To the smooth import of oil and gas from the Central Asian locale, political and financial dependability in the area is likewise essential.

The CARs' authoritarian rulers of the communist era are quite helpful in keeping the status quo in the region, so the study finds that Russia also wants to maintain the existing status quo in the region. Though getting every one of the advantages and satisfying of its local advantages, Russia wants to keep the CARs in its circle of capacity to guarantee their collaboration, in vitality supply as well as in different zones of vital significance. The CARs' tyrant leaders of the socialist time are very useful in keeping business as usual in the area, so the examination finds that Russia additionally needs to keep up the existing status quo in the region.

Among the principal political enthusiasm of Russia in the area is to invalidate the Western model of parliamentary majority rule government and thought about it as inapplicable in the territorial states. As indicated by Russian political intrigue, this political rapprochement has had a critical political effect on Central Asian social orders. So the political changes for democratization have been obstructed by the Russians. Generally, it has been seen that the locale is and remains Russia's patio and 'area of impact' – a zone of "special interests". Similarly, the examination additionally explains that collaboration inside the Commonwealth of Independent States is another device for Moscow in keeping up its effect on the part states' strategy. Inside the space of CIS Russia holds a solid basic leadership in the political appraisals of provincial states. In the economic domain, Russia has additionally recaptured a predominant position. The investigation edifies that Russia has utilized the foundation of the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) as the fundamental monetary instrument in Central Asia to overwhelm its own will in the area. To keep a hang on all the central Asian states through the Russian overwhelmed arrangement



structure, EEU is the hugest activity as of late taken by Russia to counter any Chinese and US-commanded motivation.

Amongst the most conspicuous concerns from Russian financial commitment with the region are those of work movement and local settlements. Around 45 lacs workers from the region living in Russia. These vagrants joined to make an immense portion of their individual nation's GDP particularly Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan are completely dependent on the work transients' settlements. So Russia has a solid enthusiasm for the financial spaces of these nations. Then again, the examination likewise finds that Russia's situation in Central Asia after the primary flood of the worldwide emergency altogether debilitated. Yet, the general monetary commitment of Russia in the Central Asian area is a positive way.

The exploration likewise rearranges that in the vitality space, Moscow understood that it can never again regulate the regional oil and gas costs, after the approach of the Chinese factor in the central Asian district. Be that as it may, despite the power of the vitality concern, Russian exchange with Central Asian countries additionally stretches out to other significant areas of participation: uranium, power, hydroelectricity, development, broadcast communications, transport, railroads, and the military-mechanical complex. So Russia stays a prevailing monetary entertainer in Central Asia if vitality is considered.

The investigation notifies that Russia has its satisfactory vitality assets however right now it has been indicating its enthusiasm over the assets of Central Asia just to sell these local assets into the European markets. Russia additionally needs to show its solid impact in Central Asia and adventure the assets for its financial advantages. The investigation additionally features some other Russian premiums regarding vitality assets. Russia needed to be associated in Central Asia with a vitality pipeline since Central Asian nations are close separation from its domain from one perspective and it has a copious degree of assets then again. The Russian interests in the locale are additionally dictated by the security objectives. Aside from the authority in multilateral associations which were either explicitly settled to guarantee security (CSTO) or halfway



react to those issues (SCO), Russia is effectively building up its relations with Central Asian states in the two-sided group and thus among the key-space of Russian nearness in Central Asia is the provincial security.

The study also witnessed two categories of danger there those were that Moscow thinks about the vitals in this area: strategic suspicions and threats that are not as per the tradition. Out of the tradition are drug trafficking and migration of unregulated type, whereas strategic suspicions are probable rapid moves of its surrounding states. According to the authors, "the region of South merges both out of tradition threats in addition to strategic improbability". Like this, to establish objects of military-related, for Russia; this area is likely to be the most significant region. In this regard, Hard or/and soft security is being employed by Russia with the object of promotion in the Central Asian region. The investigation additionally saw that there are two classifications of peril that Moscow considers the most significant in this locale: vital vulnerabilities and non-conventional dangers. Unregulated relocation and medication dealing are considered non-conventional, while vital vulnerabilities are potential speedy movements of its neighbor nations. As indicated by the creators, "the South area consolidates both non-customary dangers just as a key vulnerability". Thusly, this is the most significant locale for Russia to build up military items. In this regard, Russia is utilizing hard or/and delicate security to advance in the Central Asian area. The study educates that all the security concerns to a great extent direct Russia's inclinations in the locale. Russia's inconceivably long land outskirts with Kazakhstan could itself present a genuine test to national security. If precariousness spreads into Central Asia, Russia would need to dedicate tremendous assets – both military and budgetary – to guarantee the sacredness of that outskirts. As the circumstances in Syria and Iraq have appeared, the seizure by fear mongers of populace focuses and streets presents complex difficulties to law implementation offices. Russia must forestall that "formula for distress" from spreading from the Middle East into its terrace – a likelihood that looks progressively conceivable as time passes.

Two states in the locale are members of the Eurasian Economic Union that are Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan, while Tajikistan takes an interest in the



CSTO. Inside the structure of the last mentioned, Russia is legitimately liable for shielding its partners from outside dangers and guaranteeing their regional respectability and power. The study shows that in 2011, Russia jumps on to an armed upgrading program till 2020 with an estimation of 1.2 trillion dollars. According to SIPRI (2016) report, Russia disbursed about 84.5 billion dollars. Especially, military modernization is planned for improving weapons, gear, preparing, and human services of Russian soldiers at home and abroad. Consequently, the component of security is key to Russian international strategy, incorporating setting up army installations in the most significant areas for Russia like previous Soviet nations.

The research also discovers that most of Russia's offices and army installations are situated in Central Asia, except the two countries Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan. However, these nations had military and security relations with Russia in terms of weapon acquisition. As of now, the Russian Federation has its army installations in Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Kazakhstan. All the more explicitly, army installations and offices in Kyrgyzstan are at the testing premise against submarine weapons in Karakol, Kant airbase, 338th maritime correspondence station, and seismic station. Tajikistan has the 201st Russian army installation close by the Dushanbe and an optical-electronic focus control framework "window" close to Nurek town. In any case, the biggest army offices of Russia in CIS are situated in the Kazakh region. Its complete territory rented by Russia is more than 11 million hectares of area. There are 7 enormous army installations in Kazakhstan, including the fifth state testing terminating field – Baikonur Cosmodrome, separate radar hub in Priozersk, private vehicle aeronautics regiment in Kostanay, and others. Also, every one of the three nations became a member of the CSTO (Mussin, Arman.; 2017).

The related documents also reveal that the dread of strict fundamentalism from the South and frail military limits of Central Asian states to manage Islamic radicals constrained them to recognize that Russia was a "main guarantor" of their security. So it is in light of a legitimate concern for central Asian states also to have Russia in this position. Furthermore, comparably, Russia means to remain the area's fundamental security



supplier, particularly whenever contrasted with different entertainers – China, the US, and the EU.

Conclusion

The Russian interests in the central Asian region are various, from requiring political masteries in the local government issues to getting monetary advantages from local assets and its exchange courses through verifying its fringes from terrorism and radicalism. Russian enthusiasm for the area is intricate and dynamic. The need for various interests is likewise dependent upon change as per the differed conditions. Since the district is considered as Russian backyard the Russian interests in the area are very unique concerning other local and worldwide players.

Whereas getting all the benefits and fulfilling of its regional interests, Russia wishes to keep the regional states in its area of power to guarantee their collaboration, not only in energy supply but also in other areas of strategic significance. Since for Russia, it is an issue of glory not to lose authority over its past space of impact, the norm, and the special situation in the central Asian locale.

With the military presence of United States – through its bases, in the Central Asian region, the Russian Federation wishes to get strong hold on the regional security issues with its basic military organization CSTO and also fears to lose its previous area or influence.



References

- Aliyev, N. (2020, 27 May). U.S. Presence in Central Asia: Realities and Perspectives. *The Central Asia-Caucasus Analyst*.
<https://www.cacianalyst.org/publications/analytical-articles/item/13619-us-presence-in-central-asia-realities-and-perspectives.html>.
- Aminjonov, F. (2017 April 12). *Russia's evolving energy interests in Central Asia and Afghanistan*. Bishkek Project.
<https://bishkekproject.com/memos/26>.
- Azamat, B. (2016). *Explaining Russian and Chinese Foreign Policy in Central Asia through Identity and Grand Strategy*. Master Thesis, Ritsumeikan Asia Pacific University, 50-51.
<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/76063961.pdf>.
- Beehner, L. (2005). Asia: U.S. Military Bases in Central Asia. *Council on Foreign Relations*. <https://www.cfr.org/background/asia-us-military-bases-centralasia#:~:text=The%20United%20States%20maintains%20two,it%20postwar%20operations%20in%20Afghanistan>.
- Blank, S. J. (2011). Challenges to Russia in Central Asia. *American Foreign Policy Interests*, 33(5), 209-221.
- Blank, S. J. (2011). *Dragon Rising: Chinese Policy in Central Asia*. PA: Strategic Studies Institute, US Army War College, 2.
- Boonstra, J. (2015). Reviewing the EU's approach to Central Asia. *EUCAM Policy Brief*, 34.
- Chang, T., Deng, X., Zuo, J., & Yuan, J. (2018). Political Risks in Central Asian Countries: Factors and strategies. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 34(2), 1-10.



- Coface. (2019). *Central Asia, China, and Russia: A Three-Way Partnership of Reason*. <http://www.coface.com.au/News-Publications-Events/News/Central-Asia-China-and-Russia-a-three-way-partnership-of-reason>.
- Contessi, N. P. (2015). Foreign and Security Policy Diversification in Eurasia: Issue Splitting, Co-Alignment, and Relational Power. *Problems of Post-Communism*, 62(5), 299-311.
- Dave, B., & Kobayashi, Y. (2018). China's Silk Road economic belt initiative in Central Asia: economic and security implications. *Asia Europe Journal*, 16(3), 267-281.
- Fraser, C. (2009). *The Policies of the European Union and Russia Towards Central Asia*. Greece: International Centre for Black Sea Studies (ICBSS), 13.
- Fredholm, M. (Ed.). (2013). *The Shanghai Cooperation Organization and Eurasian Geopolitics: New Directions, Perspectives, and Challenges*. NIAS. – Nordic Institute of Asian Studies, the United Kingdom, 63.
- Gabuev, A. (2016). Crouching Bear, Hidden Dragon: “One Belt One Road” and Chinese-Russian Jostling for power in Central Asia. *Journal of Contemporary East Asia Studies*, 5(2), 61-78.
- Hedenskog, J. & Holmquist, E. and Norberg, J. (2019). *Security in Central Asia: Russian Policy and Military Posture*. Stockholm: FOI, 66.
- Hedenskog, J., Holmquist, E., & Norberg, J. (2018). *Security in the Caucasus—Russian policy and Military posture*. Stockholm: Swedish Defence Research Agency (FOI), 75.
- Huasheng, Z. (2009). *Central Asian Geopolitics and China's Security*. *Strategic Analysis*. Routledge. 33(4), 475-492.



- International Organization for Migration, Kazakhstan. (2015). *Addressing Mixed Migration Flows Through Capacity Building in Central Asia*. Astana: International Organization for Migration.
- Iqbal, M., & Afridi, M. K. (2017). *New Great Game in Central Asia: Conflicts, Interests and Strategies of Russia, China and United States*. Dialogue (Pakistan), 12(3). 236-237.
- Irnozardov, F. (2005). *Strategic Importance of Central Asia: The New Great Game Between the United States and Russia*. Doctoral dissertation, Linköping University, Department of Management and Economics, 10-11.
- İşeri, E. (2009). The US Grand strategy and the Eurasian Heartland in the Twenty-First Century. *Geopolitics*, 14(1), 26-46.
- Kagan, R. (2009). Powrót Historii Koniec Marzeń (*Return to History and the End of Dreams*). Poland: Poznan, 25.
- Khitakhunov, A., Mukhamediyev, B., & Pomfret, R. (2017). Eurasian Economic Union: present and future perspectives. *Economic Change and Restructuring*, 50(1), 59-77.
- Krickovic, A., & Bratersky, M. (2016). Benevolent Hegemon, Neighborhood Bully, or Regional Security Provider? Russia's Efforts to Promote Regional Integration After the 2013–2014 Ukraine crisis. *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, 57(2), 180-202.
- Laruelle, M. (2008). *Russia's Central Asia Policy and the Role of Russian Nationalism*. Washington D.C.: Central Asia-Caucasus Institute & Silk Road Studies Program, 8-11.
- Laruelle, M. (2009). *Russia in Central Asia: Old History, New Challenges?* EU-Central Asia Monitoring (EUCAM) Working Paper, (3), 5.
-



- Laruelle, M., & Peyrouse, S. (2015). *Globalizing Central Asia: Geopolitics and the Challenges of Economic Development*. Routledge, 15.
- Laruelle, Marlene. (2014). *Russia and Central Asia*. EUCAM National Policy Series- 17, 2-3.
- Mackinder, H. (1919). *Democratic Ideals and Reality*. London: Constable and Company, 194.
- Mankoff, J. (2009). *Russian Foreign Policy: The Return of Great Power Politics*. New York: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers, 22-23.
- Masoud, F. (2014). Central Asia: A Region of Strategic Importance to China. *International Policy Digest*.
<https://intpolicydigest.org/2014/03/12/central-asia-region-strategic-importance-china/>.
- Mitchell, G. (2014). China in Central Asia: The Beginning of The End for Russia? *Slovo*, 26(1), 18-31.
- Mussin, A. (2017). *Russia's Military Bases in Central Asia*. Nazarbayev University Political Science.
<https://nupsreview.wordpress.com/2017/01/11/russias-military-bases-in-central-asia/>.
- Muzalevsky, R. (2009). Russia's Strategy in Central Asia: An Analysis of Key Trends. *Yale Journal International Affairs*-4, 27.
- Naumkin, V. V., & Naumkin, V. V. (2005). *Radical Islam in Central Asia: Between Pen and Rifle*. Rowman & Littlefield, 37-40.
- Naumkin, V., & Ivanov, I. (2013). *Russia's Interests in Central Asia: Contents, Perspectives, Limitations*. Moscow: Russian International Affairs Council (RIAC), 18-19.



- Oliphant, C. (2013). *Russia's Role and Interests in Central Asia*. Saferworld: Preventing Violent Conflict, Building Safer Lives, 1-5.
- Paramonov, V. & Stolpovski, O. (2008). *Russia and Central Asia: Bilateral Cooperation in the Defence Sector*. Defence Academy of the United Kingdom, Advanced Research and Assessment Group.
- Rakhimov, M. (2015). Central Asia in The Context of Western and Russian Interests. *Europeen Formation*, 375(1), 140-154.
- Snyder, J. C. (1995). *After Empire: The Emerging Geopolitics of Central Asia*. Ft. McNair, Washington, DC: National Defense University Press, 8.
- Stronski, P., & Ng, N. (2018). *Cooperation and Competition: Russia and China in Central Asia, the Russian Far East, and the Arctic*. (Vol. 2, No. 4, p. 14). Washington: Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.
- Włodkowska-Bagan, A., & Stępniewski, T. (2012). Russian Foreign Policy Towards Central Asia. *The New Great Game in Central Asia*, Lublin, 11-32.
- World Bank Group. (2017). *Migration and Remittance Flows in Europe and Central Asia: Recent Trends and Outlook, 2013-2016*. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2013/10/02/migration-and-remittance-flows-in-europe-and-central-asia-recent-trends-and-outlook-2013-2016>.